arXiv:1011.0648v2 [math.QA] 8 Mar 2011

COCYCLE DEFORMATIONS FOR LIFTINGS OF QUANTUM LINEAR SPACES

ALESSANDRO ARDIZZONI, MARGARET BEATTIE, AND CLAUDIA MENINI

Dedicated to Mia Cohen on the occasion of her retirement

Abstract. Let A be a Hopf algebra over a field K of characteristic 0 and suppose there is a coalgebra projection π from A to a sub-Hopf algebra H that splits the inclusion. If the projection is H-bilinear, then A is isomorphic to a biproduct $R\#_\xi H$ where (R,ξ) is called a pre-bialgebra with cocycle in the category ${}_H^H\mathcal{YD}$. The cocycle ξ maps $R\otimes R$ to H. Examples of this situation include the liftings of pointed Hopf algebras with abelian group of points Γ as classified by Andruskiewitsch and Schneider [AS1]. One asks when such an A can be twisted by a cocycle $\gamma : A\otimes A\to K$ to obtain a Radford biproduct. By results of Masuoka [Ma1, Ma2], and Grünenfelder and Mastnak [GM], this can always be done for the pointed liftings mentioned above.

In a previous paper [ABM1], we showed that a natural candidate for a twisting cocycle is $\lambda\circ\xi$ where $\lambda\in H^*$ is a total integral for H and ξ is as above. We also computed the twisting cocycle explicitly for liftings of a quantum linear plane and found some examples where the twisting cocycle we computed was different from $\lambda\circ\xi$. In this note we show that in many cases this cocycle is exactly $\lambda\circ\xi$ and give some further examples where this is not the case. As well we extend the cocycle computation to quantum linear spaces; there is no restriction on the dimension.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 2
3. The twisting cocycle for the lifting of a quantum linear space 3
4. When is the twisting cocycle of the form $\lambda\circ\xi$? 7
Appendix A. Basis preserving multiplications 10
References 13

1. Introduction

In [ABM1], the authors studied twistings of Hopf algebras with a coalgebra projection, i.e., Hopf algebras A with a sub-Hopf algebra H such that there is an H-bilinear projection π from A to H that splits the inclusion. Such Hopf algebras can be written as $A\cong R\#_\xi H$ where (R,ξ) is a pre-bialgebra with cocycle $\xi : R\otimes R\to H$ in the sense of [AMS, 3.64]. It was shown that there is a bijective correspondence between H-bilinear cocycles $\gamma\in Z_H^2(A,K)$ and suitably defined left H-linear cocycles for the pre-bialgebra R. A main theorem was that for $\gamma\in Z_H^2(A,K)$ then $A^\gamma = R^{\gamma_R}\#_{\xi_{\gamma_R}}H$ where $\gamma_R\in Z_H^2(R,K)$ is the restriction of the cocycle γ to the pre-bialgebra R and ξ_{γ_R} is suitably defined. One interesting problem then is to find a cocycle $\gamma\in Z_H^2(A,K)$, or equivalently $\gamma_R\in Z_H^2(R,K)$, such that A^γ is a Radford biproduct, i.e., such that $\xi_{\gamma_R}=\varepsilon_{R\otimes R}$. As an example, the twisting cocycle which twists the Radford biproduct $\mathcal{B}(V)\#k[\Gamma]$ of the group algebra $K[\Gamma]$ of a finite abelian group Γ and the Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(V)$ for V a quantum plane to a lifting of $\mathcal{B}(V)\#k[\Gamma]$ whose parameters are all nonzero scalars was explicitly computed.

M. Beattie's research was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant. This paper was written while A. Ardizzoni and C. Menini were members of G.N.S.A.G.A. with partial financial support from M.I.U.R. (PRIN 2007).

One problem studied in [ABM1] is the following. Given a cocycle γ twisting $R\#_\xi H$ to a Radford biproduct, is $\gamma_R^{-1} = \Lambda \circ \xi$ where Λ is an integral on H invariant under the adjoint action of H on itself? It was shown in [ABM1] that if $(\Lambda \circ \xi)^{-1}$ is a cocycle for R, then it does twist $R\#_\xi H$ to a Radford biproduct. The problem is that in general, it may not be a cocycle.

Counterexamples with pointed Hopf algebras of dimension 32 in [ABM1] show that in general it is not true that $\lambda \circ \xi = \alpha$ is a cocycle that twists the Radford biproduct to a lifting. In this note, we construct further counterexamples where the Hopf algebras under consideration are liftings of a quantum plane. One involves a Hopf algebra of dimension 128 and the other a family of Hopf algebras of dimension $r^4 s$ where r, s are any integers greater than 1, r, s not necessarily distinct. In Theorem 4.4, we find sufficient conditions to have $\lambda \circ \xi$ equal to a cocycle that twists the Radford biproduct to the lifting of a quantum plane.

To obtain this result we must first re-visit the computation of the twisting cocycle done in [ABM1, Section 5] without the assumption that the parameters are all nonzero, and in Theorem 3.9, we generalize this construction from the twisting of a quantum plane to the twisting of any quantum linear space. In particular, there is no restriction on the dimension of the space and our theory includes the case when $q = -1$. We refer the reader also to [GM, Sections 4,5] where the problem of finding the twisting cocycle is solved by applying (q-)exponential maps to Hochschild cocycles.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, Γ will denote a finite abelian group and H will denote the group algebra $K[\Gamma]$. Also $W = \oplus_{i=1}^{\theta} K x_i$ will denote a quantum linear space with $x_i \in W_{g_i}^{\chi_i}$ where $g_i \in \Gamma$, $\chi_i \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ and $R := \mathcal{B}(W)$ will be the Nichols algebra of W. This means that we have:

(QLS I) $\chi_i(g_j)\chi_j(g_i) = 1$ for $i \neq j$;
(QLS II) $\chi_i(g_i)$ is a primitive r_ith root of unity.

The following is proved in [AS2] or [BDG].

Proposition 2.1. *For W a quantum linear space with $\chi_i(g_i)$ a primitive r_ith root of 1, all liftings $A := A(a_i, a_{ij} | 1 \leq i, j \leq \theta)$ of $\mathcal{B}(W)\#K[\Gamma]$ are Hopf algebras generated by the grouplikes and by $(1, g_i)$-primitives x_i, $1 \leq i \leq \theta$ where*

$$hx_i = \chi_i(h)x_i h;$$
$$x_i^{r_i} = a_i(1 - g_i^{r_i});$$
$$x_i x_j = \chi_j(g_i)x_j x_i + a_{ij}(1 - g_i g_j), i \neq j.$$

The last equality implies $a_{ji} = -\chi_j(g_i)^{-1}a_{ij} = -\chi_i(g_j)a_{ij}$, for all $i \neq j$.

Furthermore, if $a_i \neq 0$, then

$$g_i^{r_i} \neq 1 \text{ and } \chi_i^{r_i} = \varepsilon; \tag{1}$$

and if $a_{ij} \neq 0$ then

$$g_i g_j \neq 1 \text{ and } \chi_i \chi_j = \varepsilon. \tag{2}$$

In the next lemma, we list some well-known facts which we will refer to later.

Lemma 2.2. *For $W = \oplus_{i=1}^{\theta} W_{g_i}^{\chi_i}$ a quantum linear space as above, the following hold.*

- i) *If $\chi_i^{r_i} = \varepsilon$ (as occurs when $a_i \neq 0$) then $g_i^{r_i}$ commutes with all x_j.*
- ii) *If $\chi_i\chi_j = \varepsilon$ (as occurs when $a_{ij} \neq 0$) and if we set $q := \chi_i(g_i)$ then*
  - a) *$\chi_i(g_j) = q$ and $\chi_j(g_j) = \chi_i^{-1}(g_j) = \chi_j(g_i) = q^{-1}$.*
  - b) *$g_i g_j$ commutes with all x_k with k different from i, j.*
  - c) *x_i commutes with $g_i g_j$ if and only if $q^2 = 1$.*

Proof. *i)* We have $g_i^{r_i} x_j = \chi_j(g_i)^{r_i} x_j g_i^{r_i} = \chi_i^{-r_i}(g_j) x_j g_i^{r_i} = x_j g_i^{r_i}$.

ii) Part *a)* is clear. For part *b)* we have

$$g_i g_j x_k = \chi_k(g_i g_j) x_k g_i g_j = \chi_i(g_k)^{-1} \chi_j(g_k)^{-1} x_k g_i g_j = (\chi_i \chi_j)^{-1}(g_k) x_k g_i g_j = x_k g_i g_j.$$

Let us prove c). By a), we have $g_i g_j x_i = \chi_i(g_i)\chi_i(g_j) x_i g_i g_j = q^2 x_i g_i g_j$. □

In [ABM1, Section 5] a cocycle α which twists the Radford biproduct $A := \mathcal{B}(V)\# K[\Gamma] = R\# H$, V a quantum plane, to a lifting of this graded Hopf algebra was computed. Then $A^{\alpha} = R^{\alpha_R}\#_{\varepsilon_{\alpha_R}} H$ is a lifting of A with the given scalars. However, there it was assumed that the scalars a_1, a_2, a were nonzero and the equalities in Lemma 2.2 were used freely.

Formulas using q-binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{i}_q$ are essential to the arguments in [ABM1] and may be found in [K]. For technical reasons, if n, i or $n-i$ is negative, we set $\binom{n}{i}_q = 0$.

If x is $(1,g)$-primitive, g grouplike and $gx = qxg$ then by the q-binomial theorem [K, IV.2.2]

$$\Delta(x^N) = \sum\nolimits_{0\le n\le N} \binom{N}{n}_q x^n g^{N-n} \otimes x^{N-n} = \sum\nolimits_{0\le n\le N} \binom{N}{n}_{q^{-1}} g^n x^{N-n} \otimes x^n \tag{3}$$

and thus we have also

$$\Delta^2\left(x^N\right) = \sum\nolimits_{0\le n\le N} \sum\nolimits_{0\le m\le N-n} \binom{N}{n}_q \binom{N-n}{m}_q x^n g^{N-n} \otimes x^m g^{N-n-m} \otimes x^{N-n-m}. \tag{4}$$

Throughout we work over a field K of characteristic 0, and all maps are assumed to be K-linear. We will use Sweedler notation for the comultiplication in a K-coalgebra C but with the summation sign omitted, namely $\Delta(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$ for $x \in C$. For C a coalgebra and A an algebra the convolution multiplication in $\mathrm{Hom}(C, A)$ will be denoted $*$. Composition of functions will be denoted by $\circ$ or by juxtaposition when the meaning is clear.

We assume familiarity with the general theory of Hopf algebras; good references are [S], [Mo]. Radford biproducts were first introduced in [R].

3. The twisting cocycle for the lifting of a quantum linear space

In this section we review the computations in [ABM1, Section 5] without assuming that the lifting scalars are all nonzero (so that the conditions in Lemma 2.2 are not assumed) and extend the computations from a quantum plane to a quantum linear space.

Recall that if A is a bialgebra, a convolution invertible map $\gamma : A \otimes A \to K$ is called a unital (or normalized) 2-cocycle for A when for all $x, y, z \in A$,

$$\gamma\left(y_{(1)} \otimes z_{(1)}\right)\gamma\left(x \otimes y_{(2)} z_{(2)}\right) = \gamma\left(x_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)}\right)\gamma\left(x_{(2)} y_{(2)} \otimes z\right), \tag{5}$$

$$\gamma(x \otimes 1) = \gamma(1 \otimes x) = \varepsilon_A(x). \tag{6}$$

For a bialgebra A with a sub-Hopf algebra H, we denote by $Z^2_H(A, K)$ the space of H-bilinear 2-cocycles for A, i.e., the set of cocycles γ as defined above such that $\gamma(ha \otimes bh') = \varepsilon(h)\varepsilon(h')\gamma(a \otimes b)$ for $a, b \in A$, $h, h' \in H$. By [ABM1, Lemma 4.5] every H-bilinear cocycle γ is also H-balanced, i.e., for $h \in H$, $\gamma(xh \otimes y) = \gamma(x \otimes hy)$.

If $\gamma \in Z^2_H(A, K)$ then A with multiplication $m_{A^\gamma} = \gamma * m_A * \gamma^{-1}$ twisted by γ is denoted A^γ and is also a bialgebra [D].

Lemma 3.1. *Let A be a lifting of $\mathcal{B}(W)\# k[\Gamma]$, possibly the trivial one. Suppose that $\gamma : A \otimes A \to K$ is H-bilinear and H-balanced. For $X = x_1^{n_1} \dots x_\theta^{n_\theta} \in A$ write $\chi_X := \chi_1^{n_1} * \dots * \chi_\theta^{n_\theta}$ and similarly for Y, Z. Then for h, g, l grouplike, checking the cocycle condition (5) for a triple gX, hY, lZ is equivalent to checking for the triple X, Y, Z.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma(hY_{(1)} \otimes lZ_{(1)})\gamma(gX \otimes hY_{(2)} lZ_{(2)}) = \gamma(gX_{(1)} \otimes hY_{(1)})\gamma(gX_{(2)} hY_{(2)} \otimes lZ) \\ \iff &\chi_Z(l)\gamma(Y_{(1)} \otimes Z_{(1)})\gamma(Xh \otimes Y_{(2)} Z_{(2)}) = \chi_Z(l)\gamma(X_{(1)} h \otimes Y_{(1)})\gamma(X_{(2)} hY_{(2)} \otimes Z) \\ \iff &\chi_X^{-1}(h)\gamma(Y_{(1)} \otimes Z_{(1)})\gamma(X \otimes Y_{(2)} Z_{(2)}) = \chi_X^{-1}(h)\gamma(X_{(1)} \otimes Y_{(1)})\gamma(X_{(2)} Y_{(2)} \otimes Z). \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall the following from [ABM1].

Lemma 3.2. [ABM1, Corollary 5.2] *Let* $\gamma \in Z^2_H(A,K)$ *where* $A = \mathcal{B}(V)\#K[\Gamma]$*,* V *a quantum plane. Suppose that* $\chi_1\chi_2 = \varepsilon$ *so that the equalities in Lemma 2.2(ii) hold. If* $q^{i+k} \neq q^{j+l}$*, then* $\gamma^{\pm 1}(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l) = 0$.

Lemma 3.3. [ABM1, Corollary 4.4][C, Lemma 1.4] *For* A *a bialgebra, let* $\beta \in Z^2(A,K)$ *and* $\gamma \in Z^2(A^\beta, K)$*. Then* $\gamma * \beta \in Z^2(A,K)$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let* $A = R\#H$ *where* R, H, W *are as defined thoughout. For* $a_i \in K$*,* $1 \leq i \leq \theta$*, define* H*-bilinear maps* $\gamma_{a_i} := \gamma_i$*,* $i = 1, \dots, \theta$*, from* $A \otimes A$ *to* K *as follows:* $\gamma_i = \varepsilon$ *on all* $X \otimes Y$ *for* X, Y *elements of* $\mathcal{B}(W)$ *of the form* $x_1^{N_1} \dots x_\theta^{N_\theta}$ *except that for* $0 < m < r_i$*,*

$$\gamma_i(x_i^m \otimes x_i^{r_i - m}) = a_i, \tag{7}$$

and γ_i *is then extended to* $A \otimes A$ *by* H*-bilinearity. If* $a_i \neq 0$*, assume that* $\chi_i^{r_i} = \varepsilon$*.*

The maps γ_i *lie in* $Z^2_H(A,K)$ *and furthermore these cocycles pairwise commute.*

Proof. If $a_i = 0$, then $\gamma_i = \varepsilon$ and satisfies the conditions to be a cocycle. Thus we assume that the a_i are nonzero and that $\chi_i^{r_i} = \varepsilon$. This condition ensures that γ_i is H-balanced since for any grouplike h, $\gamma_i(x_i^m h \otimes x_i^{r_i-m}) = \chi_i^{-m}(h) a_i = \gamma_i(x_i^m \otimes h x_i^{r_i - m})$.

By the definition of γ_i, condition (6) holds. By Lemma 3.1 it suffices to check condition (5) for the triple X, Y, Z where X, Y, Z have the form $x_1^{N_1} \dots x_\theta^{N_\theta}$. From the definition of γ_i both sides of (5) are 0 unless all exponents N_j, $i \neq j$ are 0. The proof that γ_i is a cocycle now follows as in the proof of [ABM1, Proposition 5.3].

It remains to show that the cocycles pairwise commute. We show that γ_1 and γ_2 commute. The proof that γ_i and γ_j commute for any $i \neq j$ is the same. If either a_1 or a_2 is 0, there is nothing to prove and so we assume that the scalars are nonzero and $\chi_i^{r_i} = \varepsilon$, $i = 1, 2$. Apply $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2$ and $\gamma_2 * \gamma_1$ to $x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l$ since $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2$ or $\gamma_2 * \gamma_1$ will be $\varepsilon_{R \otimes R}$ applied to any other elements of $R \otimes R$. Then using the fact that the γ_i are H-balanced and H-bilinear

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 * \gamma_2(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l) &= \gamma_1(x_1^i g_2^j \otimes x_1^k)\gamma_2(x_2^j \otimes x_2^l) \\ &= \gamma_1(x_1^i \otimes g_2^j x_1^k)\gamma_2(x_2^j \otimes x_2^l) = (\chi_1(g_2))^{jk}\delta_{i+k,r_1}\delta_{j+l,r_2}a_1a_2,\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_2 * \gamma_1(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l) &= \gamma_2(x_2^j \otimes g_1^k x_2^l)\gamma_1(x_1^i \otimes x_1^k) \\ &= (\chi_2(g_1))^{kl}\delta_{i+k,r_1}\delta_{j+l,r_2}a_1a_2 = (\chi_1(g_2))^{-kl}\delta_{i+k,r_1}\delta_{j+l,r_2}a_1a_2\end{aligned}$$

since $\chi_1(g_2)\chi_2(g_1) = 1$. If $j + l = r_2$ then $\chi_1(g_2)^{j+l} = \chi_1(g_2)^{r_2} = \chi_2^{-r_2}(g_1) = 1$ and so these expressions are equal and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let* W, A, R, H *and* $\gamma_i := \gamma_{a_i}$ *be as in Proposition 3.4.*

i) Let $\beta_i := \gamma_{b_i} : A \otimes A \to K$ *for some scalars* b_i*. Then* $\gamma_i * \beta_j = \beta_j * \gamma_i$ *for all* i, j*. Moreover* $\gamma_i * \beta_i(x_i^m \otimes x_i^n) = \delta_{r_i, n+m}(a_i + b_i)$*. As a consequence* $\gamma_{a_i}^{-1} = \gamma_{(-a_i)}$*.*

ii) Let B *be the lifting of* A *with parameter* a_i*. Then* $A^{\gamma_i} \cong B$*.*

iii) For any map $\gamma : A \otimes A \to K$*, we have that* $\gamma \in Z^2_H(A,K)$ *if and only if* $\gamma \in Z^2_H(A^{\gamma_i}, K)$*.*

iv) We have that $\gamma_1 * \ldots * \gamma_\theta \in Z^2_H(A,K)$*.*

v) For any map $\gamma : A \otimes A \to K$*, we have that* $\gamma \in Z^2_H(A,K)$ *if and only if* $\gamma \in Z^2_H(A^{\gamma_1 * \dots * \gamma_\theta}, K)$*.*

vi) Let B *be the lifting of* A *with parameters* $a_1, \dots, a_\theta$*. Then* $A^{\gamma_1 * \dots * \gamma_\theta} \cong B$*.*

Proof. i) By Proposition 3.4, $\gamma_i * \beta_j = \beta_j * \gamma_i$ for all $i \neq j$. It remains to check that $\gamma_i * \beta_i = \beta_i * \gamma_i$. But it is only necessary to check these maps on an element of the form $x_i^m \otimes x_i^n$ and note that $\gamma_i * \beta_i(x_i^m \otimes x_i^n) = \delta_{r_i, n+m}(a_i + b_i) = \beta_i * \gamma_i(x_i^m \otimes x_i^n)$. In particular, we get $\gamma_{a_i}^{-1} = \gamma_{(-a_i)}$.

ii) As in [ABM1], the multiplication $m_i : A^{\gamma_i} \otimes A^{\gamma_i} \to A^{\gamma_i}$ changes the product of x_i^m and $x_i^{r_i - m}$ for $0 < m < r_i$, namely

$$m_i(x_i^m \otimes x_i^{r_i-m}) = \gamma_i(x_i^m \otimes x_i^{r_i-m}) + x_i^{r_i} + g_i^{r_i}\gamma_i^{-1}(x_i^m \otimes x_i^{r_i-m}) = a_i(1 - g_i^{r_i}).$$

but all other products of elements of the form x_i^t or x_j^s are unchanged. Thus $A^{\gamma_i} \cong B$. This also follows from Proposition A.5.

iii) If $\gamma_i \neq \varepsilon$ then $a_i \neq 0$ so that, by Lemma 2.2 part i), $1 - g_i^{r_i}$ commutes with all x_j. Let $\gamma : A \otimes A \to K$. Then by the H-bilinearity condition on cocycles and the fact that $\varepsilon(1 - g_i^{r_i}) = 0$, $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ if and only if $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A^{\gamma_i}, K)$.

iv) Part iii) together with Lemma 3.3 implies that for all $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ one has $\gamma * \gamma_i \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ for all i. Then $\gamma_1 \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ implies $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2 \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ which implies $(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2) * \gamma_3 \in Z_H^2(A, K)$. Extending this argument, we obtain $\gamma_1 * \ldots * \gamma_\theta \in Z_H^2(A, K)$.

v) From iii), $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A, K) \iff \gamma \in Z_H^2(A^{\gamma_i}, K)$. But then, denoting $B := A^{\gamma_i}$, again using iii), $\gamma \in Z_H^2(B, K) \iff \gamma \in Z_H^2(B^{\gamma_j}, K)$. Continuing in this way, we obtain that $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ if and only if $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A^{\gamma_1 * \ldots * \gamma_\theta}, K)$.

vi) This follows by an argument similar to that in ii), or also follows from Proposition A.5. $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let $A = R\#H$ with R, H as above. Let $i < j$, let a_{ij} be a scalar and assume that $\chi_i\chi_j = \varepsilon$ if $a_{ij} \neq 0$. Define the H-bilinear map $\gamma_{ij} := \gamma_{a_{ij}}$ from $A \otimes A$ to K as follows: $\gamma_{ij} = \varepsilon$ except that for $0 \leq m < r_i$,*

$$\gamma_{ij}(x_j^m \otimes x_i^m) = (m)!_q a_{ij}^m,$$

and γ_{ij} is then extended to all of $A \otimes A$ by H-bilinearity. Then $\gamma_{ij} \in Z_H^2(A, K)$.

Proof. If $a_{ij} = 0$, then $\gamma_{ij} = \varepsilon$. Let a_{ij} be nonzero so that $\chi_i\chi_j = \varepsilon$. It is easy to check that the condition $\chi_i = \chi_j^{-1}$ ensures that γ_{ij} is H-balanced. By the definition of γ_{ij} the cocycle conditions need only be checked on triples of the form $x_i^{n_1}x_j^{m_1}, x_i^{n_2}x_j^{m_2}, x_i^{n_3}x_j^{m_3}$. The proof is now the same as the proof of [ABM1, Proposition 5.6] with $q = \chi_i(g_i)$, with i replacing 1 and j replacing 2. $\square$

Note that $\gamma_{ij}^{-1}(x_j \otimes x_i) = -a_{ij}$; the argument is in [ABM1].

By Lemma 3.5, $\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_1 * \ldots * \gamma_\theta \in Z_H^2(A, K)$. However the next example shows that $\gamma_1 * \ldots * \gamma_\theta * \gamma_{ij}$ may not lie in $Z_H^2(A, K)$.

EXAMPLE 3.7. Let V be a quantum linear space, i.e. $\theta = 2$, and let $a := a_{12} \neq 0$ and $\chi_1\chi_2 = \varepsilon$. The same example used to show that γ_{12} and γ_1 do not commute in [ABM1, Section 5] shows that $\gamma_1 * \gamma_{12} \notin Z_H^2(A, K)$. We test $\gamma_1 * \gamma_{12}$ on the triple x_1^{r-1}, x_2, x_1^2 where $r = r_1 = r_2$ since $\chi_1\chi_2 = \varepsilon$. Then the left hand side of (5) is

$$[(\gamma_1 * \gamma_{12})(x_2 \otimes (q+1)x_1)] \left[(\gamma_1 * \gamma_{12})(x_1^{r-1} \otimes x_1)\right] = (q+1)aa_1.$$

But the right hand side is

$$\left[(\gamma_1 * \gamma_{12})(g_1^{r-1} \otimes g_2)\right] \left[(\gamma_1 * \gamma_{12})(x_1^{r-1}x_2 \otimes x_1^2)\right] = q(q+1)aa_1.$$

$\square$

Note that if a lifting of a quantum linear space has scalars $a_{ij} \neq 0$ and $a_{ik} \neq 0$ (or $a_{ki} \neq 0$) then $r_i = r_j = r_k = 2$. For example, if a_{12}, a_{13} are both nonzero, then we must have that $\varepsilon = \chi_1\chi_2 = \chi_1\chi_3$ so that $\chi_2 = \chi_3 = \chi_1^{-1}$. Then $1 = \chi_3(g_2)\chi_2(g_3) = \chi_2(g_2)\chi_3(g_3) = q_2q_3$. By Lemma 2.2(ii), $q_2 = q_1^{-1} = q_3$. Thus $1 = q_2^2$ so $q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = -1$.

We can now describe cocycles that twist $\mathcal{B}(W)\#K[\Gamma]$ to a lifting of this Radford biproduct.

Let B be the lifting of $A := \mathcal{B}(W)\#K[\Gamma]$ defined by a set of nonzero scalars a_i, a_{ij}. Consider $1, \ldots, \theta$ as vertices and construct a nondirected graph by drawing an edge between i and j if $a_{ij} \neq 0$. Let C_i be the set of vertices connected to i by some path. Then $\{1, \ldots, \theta\}$ is the disjoint union of the connected components C_τ, $\tau \in T$.

Let γ_τ be a cocycle such that γ_τ is ε on $X = x_1^{n_1} \ldots x_\theta^{n_\theta} \otimes x_1^{N_1} \ldots x_\theta^{N_\theta}$ unless $n_i = N_i = 0$ for $i \notin C_\tau$. Then we say that γ_τ is a *cocycle for the connected component* C_τ. The next proposition, together with Proposition 3.4, shows that cocycles of the form γ_i, γ_{ij} belonging to different connected components commute. Also if $|C_\tau| > 2$ so that all r_i are 2, then the cocycles of the types γ_i, γ_{ij} for C_τ also pairwise commute. We noted in Example 3.7 that this is not true in general.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *For W,R,H as throughout the paper, let* $A = R\#H$. *For some* $i < j$, *let* a_{ij} *be a nonzero scalar and suppose that* $\chi_i\chi_j = \varepsilon$ *and* $g_i g_j \neq 1$. *Assume* $r_i = r_j$.

i) For an integer k *let* $a_k \neq 0$ *and suppose that* $\chi_k^{r_k} = \varepsilon$. *Assume that either* $k \notin \{i, j\}$ *or* $r_i = r_j = 2$. *Then*

$$\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_k = \gamma_k * \gamma_{ij}.$$

ii) For integers $k < m$ *let* $a_{km} \neq 0$ *and suppose that* $\chi_k\chi_m = \varepsilon$ *and* $r_k = r_m$. *Assume that either* $k, m \notin \{i, j\}$ *or* $r_i = r_j = 2$. *Then*

$$\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_{km} = \gamma_{km} * \gamma_{ij}.$$

Proof. Let $r := r_i = r_j$ and let $q := \chi_i(g_i) = \chi_j(g_j)^{-1}$.

i) Clearly $\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_k(X) = \gamma_k * \gamma_{ij}(X) = 0$ unless the exponent of any x_m in $X \in R \otimes R$, $m \neq i, j, k$ is 0. Suppose that $i < j < k$ and we test equality of $\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_k$ and $\gamma_k * \gamma_{ij}$ on $X = x_i^n x_j^l x_k^p \otimes x_i^N x_j^L x_k^P$.

$$\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_k(X) = \gamma_{ij}(x_i^n x_j^l g_k^p \otimes x_i^N x_j^L g_k^P)\gamma_k(x_k^p \otimes x_k^P) = \chi_j^{-lp}(g_k)\delta_{n,0}\delta_{L,0}\delta_{l,N}\delta_{p+P,r_k}a_k(l)!_q a^l.$$

and

$$\gamma_k * \gamma_{ij}(X) = \gamma_k(g_i^n g_j^l x_k^p \otimes g_i^N g_j^L x_k^P)\gamma_{ij}(x_i^n x_j^l \otimes x_i^N x_j^L) = \chi_k(g_i)^{NP}\delta_{n,0}\delta_{L,0}\delta_{l,N}\delta_{p+P,r_k}a_k(l)!_q a^l,$$

and it remains to show that $\chi_j^{-lp}(g_k) = \chi_k(g_i)^{NP}$. Note that if $\delta_{l,N} \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_j^{-lp}(g_k) &= \chi_j^{-Np}(g_k) = \chi_i^{Np}(g_k) \text{ since } \chi_i\chi_j = \varepsilon\\ &= \chi_k^{-Np}(g_i) \text{ since } \chi_i(g_k)\chi_k(g_i) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

But $\chi_k(g_i)^{N(P+p)} = 1$ if $p + P = r_k$ since $\chi_k^{r_k} = \varepsilon$. The cases $k < i < j$ and $i < k < j$ are similar.

Now suppose that $k = i$ so that $r = 2$ and $q = -1$. As above, it suffices to test equality of $\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_i$ and $\gamma_i * \gamma_{ij}$ on $X = x_i^n x_j^l \otimes x_i^N x_j^L$. In fact, since it is clear that both $\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_i$ and $\gamma_i * \gamma_{ij}$ are 0 on X unless $L = 0$, we assume that $X = x_i^n x_j^l \otimes x_i^N$ and, since $N < r = 2$, without loss of generality we may assume that $N = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_i(X) &= \sum\nolimits_{k=0}^{1} \binom{1}{k}_q \gamma_{ij}(g_i^n x_j^l \otimes x_i^k g_i^{1-k})\gamma_i(x_i^n \otimes x_i^{1-k})\\ &= \gamma_{ij}(x_j^l \otimes g_i)\gamma_i(x_i^n \otimes x_i) + \gamma_{ij}(x_j^l \otimes x_i)\gamma_i(x_i^n \otimes 1)\\ &= \delta_{l,0}\delta_{n,1}a_i + \delta_{l,1}\delta_{n,0}a_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

and a similar computation shows that $\gamma_i * \gamma_{ij}(X) = \delta_{l,0}\delta_{n,1}a_i + \delta_{l,1}\delta_{n,0}a_{ij}$. Similarly $\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_j = \gamma_j * \gamma_{ij}$.

ii) Set $s := r_k = r_m$ and let $q' = \chi_k(g_k)$. First suppose that $i < j < k < m$. It suffices to check equality of these products of cocycles on an element X of the form $x_i^n x_j^l x_k^p x_m^w \otimes x_i^N x_j^L x_k^P x_m^W$.

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_{km}(X) &= \gamma_{ij}(x_i^n x_j^l g_k^p g_m^w \otimes x_i^N x_j^L g_k^P g_m^W)\gamma_b(x_k^p x_m^w \otimes x_k^P x_m^W)\\ &= \chi_j^{-lw}(g_m)\delta_{n+L+p+W,0}\delta_{l,N}(l)!_q a_{ij}^l \delta_{w,P}(w)!q' a_{km}^w,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{km} * \gamma_{ij}(X) &= \gamma_{km}(g_i^n g_j^l x_k^p x_m^w \otimes g_i^N g_j^L x_k^P x_m^W)\gamma_{ij}(x_i^n x_j^l \otimes x_i^N x_j^L)\\ &= \chi_k^{PN}(g_i)\delta_{n+L+p+W,0}\delta_{w,P}(w)!q' a_{km}^w \delta_{l,N}(l)!_q a_{ij}^l.\end{aligned}$$

But, computing as in part i), $\chi_j^{-lw}(g_m) = \chi_i^{lw}(g_m) = \chi_m^{-lw}(g_i) = \chi_k^{lw}(g_i)$ and since if these expressions are nonzero then $w = P$ and $l = N$, we are done. The cases $i < k < j < m$ and $i < k < m < j$ are handled similarly.

Suppose that $k = i$ so that $r = s = 2$, and suppose that $i < j < m$. We test equality of $\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_{im}$ and $\gamma_{im} * \gamma_{ij}$ on $X = x_i^n x_j^l x_m^p \otimes x_i^N x_j^L x_m^P$ and it is clear that both maps applied to X give 0 unless

$n = L = P = 0$. Thus we let $X = x_j^l x_m^p \otimes x_i^N$ and then we may assume that $N = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{ij} * \gamma_{im}(X) &= \sum\nolimits_{w=0}^{1} \binom{1}{w}_q \gamma_{ij}(x_j^l g_m^p \otimes x_i^w g_i^{1-w}) \gamma_{im}(x_m^p \otimes x_i^{1-w}) \\ &= \gamma_{ij}(x_j^l g_m^p \otimes g_i)\gamma_{ij}(x_m^p \otimes x_i) + \gamma_{ij}(x_j^l g_m^p \otimes x_i)\gamma_{im}(x_m^p \otimes 1) \\ &= \delta_{l,0}\delta_{p,1} a_{im} + \delta_{l,1}\delta_{p,0} a_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{im} * \gamma_{ij}(X) &= \sum\nolimits_{w=0}^{1} \binom{1}{w}_q \gamma_{im}(g_j^l x_m^p \otimes x_i^w g_i^{1-w}) \gamma_{ij}(x_j^l \otimes x_i^{1-w}) \\ &= \gamma_{im}(g_j^l x_m^p \otimes g_i)\gamma_{ij}(x_j^l \otimes x_i) + \gamma_{im}(g_j^l x_m^p \otimes x_i)\gamma_{ij}(x_j^l \otimes 1) \\ &= \delta_{p,0}\delta_{l,1} a_{ij} + \delta_{p,1}\delta_{l,0} a_{im}.\end{aligned}$$

The remaining cases $i < m < j$, etc., have similar proofs. $\square$

Now suppose B is a lifting of $A := \mathcal{B}(W)\#K[\Gamma]$ with nonzero scalars a_i, a_{ij}. Then by Proposition 2.1, we must have that if $a_i \neq 0$, $g_i^{r_i} \neq 1$ as well as $\chi_i^{r_i} = \varepsilon$ and if $a_{ij} \neq 0$ then $g_i g_j \neq 1$ as well as $\chi_i \chi_j = \varepsilon$. We construct a cocycle that will twist A to B from the cocycles for the connected components.

Theorem 3.9. *For each connected component C_τ define $\alpha_\tau \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ by the following:*

- *If $|C_\tau| = 1$, i.e., $C_\tau = \{i\}$ for some i, then define $\alpha_\tau = \gamma_i$;*
- *If $|C_\tau| = 2$, i.e., $C_\tau = \{i, j\}$ for some $i < j$, then define $\alpha_\tau = \gamma_{ij} * \gamma_i * \gamma_j$.*
- *If $|C_\tau| > 2$, i.e., $C_\tau = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n\}$ with $i_n < i_{n+1}$, define $\alpha_\tau = (\prod_{i<j} \gamma_{ij}) * (\prod_{k=1}^n \gamma_{i_k})$.*

Let $\alpha = \prod_{\tau \in T} \alpha_\tau$. Then α is a cocycle and the cocycle twist A^α is isomorphic to the lifting B with scalars a_i, a_{ij} as above.

Proof. First we show that $\alpha_\tau \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ when C_τ has more than 2 elements. In this case $r_i = 2$ and $q_i = -1$ for every $i \in C_\tau$ so that for all i, j with $a_{ij} \neq 0$, $1 - g_i g_j$ is in the centre of A. Then by the same argument as in Lemma 3.5 (iii), $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ if and only if $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A^{\gamma_{ij}}, K)$. From Lemma 3.5(iv), $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ if and only if $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A^{\gamma_i}, K)$ and so the fact from Proposition 3.8 that the γ_{ij} and γ_k commute for any $i, j, k \in C_\tau$ implies that $\alpha_\tau \in Z_H^2(A, K)$.

Similarly for $\tau \neq \omega$, from the fact that $1 - g_i^{r_i}$ commutes with all x_j and $1 - g_i g_j$ commutes with all x_k for $k \neq i, j$, then by the argument in Lemma 3.5, $\alpha_\tau \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ if and only if $\alpha_\tau \in Z_H^2(A^\omega, K)$. Thus $\alpha \in Z_H^2(A, K)$.

The last statement follows as in [ABM1, Proposition 5.9], or by Proposition A.6. $\square$

Remark 3.10. The twisting cocycle α constructed above is not unique. Cocycles γ such that $A^\gamma = A$ are discussed in [C] where it is noted that such cocycles form a group. In [BC] these are called *lazy cocycles*. So we have that the twisting cocycle is unique only up to multiplication by a lazy cocycle, that is, $A^\alpha = A^\beta$ if and only if $\beta^{-1} \circ \alpha$ is a lazy cocycle.

Note that in the definition of γ_i (respectively γ_{ij}) it is not required that $g_i^{r_i} \neq 1$ ($g_i g_j \neq 1$). However, if $g_i^{r_i} = 1$ (respectively $g_i g_j = 1$) then the cocycle is a lazy one; twisting by such a cocycle gives the trivial lifting.

4. When is the twisting cocycle of the form $\lambda \circ \xi$?

Recall the motivating question. For any Hopf algebra $A \cong R\#_\xi H$, is $\gamma_R^{-1} = \Lambda \circ \xi$ a cocycle for R? If so, then twisting A by this cocycle, extended to A, gives a Radford biproduct, as desired.

Let $R\#_\xi H$ as before be a lifting of a quantum plane. In this section, we present our main results; we give sufficient conditions for the composition of the cocycle ξ for the pre-bialgebra and the total integral λ on H to be a cocycle which twists the Radford biproduct to the given lifting in the setting of $H = K[\Gamma]$ and V a quantum plane. Recall that the total integral λ on $K[\Gamma]$ is given on generators by $\lambda(g) = \delta_{g,e}$, the Kronecker delta, where $g \in \Gamma$ and e denotes the unit of Γ. The following, together with the examples of dimension 32 in [ABM1] show that $\lambda \circ \xi = \lambda \circ \pi \circ m_{A^\alpha}$ is not always equal to α, where α is the cocycle from the previous section. To construct our examples below it is useful to have an explicit form of α for a quantum plane.

Remark 4.1. (cf. [ABM1, Section 5]) For $A = \mathcal{B}(V)\#K[\Gamma]$, V a quantum plane, we summarize the action of the cocycle $\alpha := \gamma_a * \gamma_1 * \gamma_2$ on $A \otimes A$. For $0 < i, k, m, n, t$ we have

(i) $\alpha(z \otimes 1) = \alpha(1 \otimes z) = \varepsilon(z)$ for all $z \in A$.
(ii) $\alpha(x_i^n \otimes x_i^m) = \delta_{n+m,r_i} a_i$.
(iii) $\alpha(x_1^m \otimes x_2^k) = 0$.
(iv) $\alpha(x_1^i \otimes x_1^k x_2^m) = 0 = \alpha(x_1^i x_2^k \otimes x_2^m)$.
(v) $\alpha(x_2^m \otimes x_1^n) = \delta_{n,m}(m)!_q a^m$.
(vi) $\alpha(x_2^i \otimes x_1^k x_2^m) = \delta_{i+m,r_2+k} \binom{i}{k}_q (k)!_q a^k a_2$.
(vii) $\alpha(x_1^i x_2^k \otimes x_1^m) = \delta_{i+m,r_1+k} \binom{m}{k}_q (k)!_q a^k a_1$.
(viii) $\alpha(x_1^i x_2^k \otimes x_1^m x_2^t) = \delta_{i+m,k+t}(i+m-r)!_q \binom{k}{r-t}_q \binom{m}{r-i}_q q^{it} a^{i+m-r} a_1 a_2$ where $a \neq 0$, $r = r_1 = r_2$.
(ix) $\alpha(x_1^i x_2^k \otimes x_1^m x_2^t) = \delta_{i+m,r_1}\delta_{k+t,r_2}\chi_1^{it}(g_2) a_1 a_2$ for $a = 0$.

Example 4.2. Let r, s be integers greater than 1 and let $\Gamma = C_{rs} \times C_r = \langle g \rangle \times \langle h \rangle$ be the product of two cyclic groups. Let $V = Kx_1 \oplus Kx_2$ be a quantum plane where $x_1 \in V_g^{\chi}$ and $x_2 \in V_{g^{-1}h}^{\chi^{-1}}$ with $\chi(g) = q$, q a primitive rth root of 1 and $\chi(h) = q^2$.

We verify that V is a quantum plane and that the conditions (1) and (2) needed to form a lifting with nonzero scalars a_1, a_2, a hold.

- $\chi(g^{-1}h)\chi^{-1}(g) = q^{-1}q^2q^{-1} = 1$;
- $\chi(g) = q$ and $\chi^{-1}(g^{-1}h) = qq^{-2} = q^{-1}$ with both q, q^{-1} primitive rth roots of unity;
- $g^r \neq 1$ and $g^{-r}h^r = g^{-r} \neq 1$;
- $g_1g_2 = gg^{-1}h = h \neq 1$;
- $\chi^r = \varepsilon$ and by definition $\chi_1\chi_2 = \varepsilon$.

Now let B be a lifting of $A = \mathcal{B}(V)\#K[\Gamma]$ with nonzero scalars a_1, a_2 and with a arbitrary. We know $B = A^{\alpha}$ where the cocycle $\alpha \in Z^2_{K[\Gamma]}(A, K)$ is given in Remark 4.1. Thus $\alpha(x_1x_2 \otimes x_1^{r-1}x_2^{r-1}) = q^{-1}a_1a_2$.

Let λ denote the total integral from the group algebra $K[\Gamma]$ to K. Then

$$\lambda\pi m_{A^{\alpha}}(x_1x_2 \otimes x_1^{r-1}x_2^{r-1}) = \lambda\pi\left[x_1\left(qx_1x_2 + a(1 - g_1g_2)\right)x_1^{r-2}x_2^{r-1}\right].$$

Continuing in this way, since $\pi(x_1^ix_2^i) = 0$ for $0 < i < r$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\pi(q^{r-1}x_1^rx_2^r) &= \lambda\pi\left[q^{-1}a_1a_2(1 - g^r)(1 - g^{-r}h^r)\right]\\ &= q^{-1}a_1a_2\lambda[1 - g^r - g^{-r}h^r + 1]\\ &= 2q^{-1}a_1a_2 = 2\alpha(x_1x_2 \otimes x_1^{r-1}x_2^{r-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, here, $\lambda \circ \pi \circ m_{A^{\alpha}} \neq \alpha$. □

Example 4.3. Let $\Gamma = C_8 = \langle c \rangle$ be the cyclic group of order 8. Let $V = Kx_1 \oplus Kx_2$ be a quantum plane where $x_1 \in V_c^{\chi}$ and $x_2 \in V_{c^5}^{\chi^{-1}}$ with $\chi(c) = q$, q a primitive 4th root of 1. Verifying that V is a quantum plane and that (1) and (2) hold, we compute:

- $\chi(c^5)\chi^{-1}(c) = q^5q^{-1} = 1$;
- $\chi(c) = q$ and $\chi^{-1}(c^5) = q^{-5} = q^{-1}$ with both q, q^{-1} primitive 4th roots of unity;
- $c^4 \neq 1$ and $(c^5)^4 = c^4 \neq 1$;
- $g_1g_2 = c^6 \neq 1$;
- $\chi^4 = \varepsilon$ and by definition $\chi_1\chi_2 = \varepsilon$.

Now let B be a lifting of $A = \mathcal{B}(V)\#K[\Gamma]$ with nonzero scalars a_1, a and with a_2 arbitrary. We know $B = A^{\alpha}$ where the cocycle $\alpha \in Z^2_{K[\Gamma]}(A, K)$ is given in Remark 4.1. Thus $\alpha(x_1^3x_2^2 \otimes x_1^3) = \binom{3}{2}_q (2)!_q a^2a_1 = (3)!_q a^2a_1 = (q - 1)a^2a_1$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda\pi m_{A^{\alpha}}(x_1^3x_2^2 \otimes x_1^3) = \lambda\pi\left[x_1^3x_2(x_2x_1)x_1^2\right]\\ &= \lambda\pi\left[x_1^3x_2\left(qx_1x_2 + a(1 - c^6)\right)x_1^2\right] = q\lambda\pi\left[x_1^3x_2(x_1x_2)x_1^2\right] + a\lambda\pi\left[x_1^3x_2(1 - c^6)x_1^2\right]\end{aligned}$$

We note that $c^6 x_i = -x_i c^6$ and c^6 and x_i^2 commute. Computing the first summand we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&q\lambda\pi\left[x_1^3\left(qx_1x_2 + a(1-c^6)\right)\left(qx_1x_2 + a(1-c^6)\right)x_1\right]\\
&= q\lambda\pi\left[q^2x_1^4(x_2x_1)^2 + 2qax_1^4(1+c^6)x_2x_1 + a^2x_1^4(1+c^6)^2\right]\\
&= qa_1\lambda\left[(1-c^4)\pi\left(-x_2x_1x_2x_1 + 2qa(1+c^6)x_2x_1 + a^2(1+c^6)^2\right)\right]\\
&= qa_1\lambda\left[(1-c^4)\left(-a^2(1-c^6)^2 + 2qa^2(1+c^6)(1-c^6) + a^2(1+c^6)^2\right)\right]\\
&= qa_1a^2\lambda\left[4c^6 - 4c^2 + 4q(1-c^4)\right]\\
&= 4q^2a_1a^2 = -4a_1a^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Computing the last summand we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
a\lambda\pi\left[x_1^3x_2x_1^2(1-c^6)\right] &= a\lambda\left[\pi\left(x_1^3(x_2x_1)x_1\right)(1-c^6)\right]\\
&= a\lambda\left[\pi\left(x_1^3(qx_1x_2 + a(1-c^6))x_1\right)(1-c^6)\right]\\
&= a\lambda\left[[q\pi(x_1^3x_1x_2x_1) + a\pi(x_1^3(1-c^6)x_1)](1-c^6)\right]\\
&= a\lambda\left[q\pi(x_1^4x_2x_1)(1-c^6) + aa_1(1-c^4)(1+c^6)(1-c^6)\right]\\
&= a_1a^2\lambda\left[q(1-c^4)(1-c^6)^2 + (1-c^4)(1+c^6)(1-c^6)\right]\\
&= a_1a^2\lambda\left[2q(c^2-c^6) + 2(1-c^4)\right] = 2a_1a^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda \circ \pi \circ m_{A^\alpha}(x_1^3x_2^2 \otimes x_1^3) = -2a_1a^2 \neq \alpha(x_1^3x_2^2 \otimes x_1^3)$.

Of course the examples above only show that $\lambda \circ \pi \circ m_{A^\alpha}$ is not the cocycle α; it is not known whether or not $\lambda \circ \pi \circ m_{A^\alpha}$ is a cocycle. Note also that in [ABM2] we investigate deformations of Hopf algebras with the dual Chevalley property which naturally involves $\lambda \circ \pi \circ m_{A^\alpha}$ just as a gauge transformation and not necessarily a cocycle.

Next we show that in many cases $(g_1g_2)^r \neq 1$ is enough to ensure that a twisting cocycle for the lifting of a quantum plane is the composite of the integral and the cocycle for the pre-bialgebra.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let* $V = Kx_1 \oplus Kx_2$ *be a quantum plane with* $x_i \in V_{g_i}^{\chi_i}$. *Let* $R = \mathcal{B}(V)$, *and let* $A := R\#H$. *Let* $B := A(a_1, a_2, a)$ *be a lifting of* A. *Let* α *be the cocycle that twists* A *into* B, *i.e.* $A^\alpha = B$. *Then* $B = R^{\alpha_R}\#_{\varepsilon_{\alpha_R}}H$. *Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $\alpha = \gamma_i$ *for* $i = 1$ *or* 2;
- (ii) $\alpha = \gamma_1 * \gamma_2$ *and* $g_1^{r_1}g_2^{r_2} \neq 1$;
- (iii) *The parameter* $a := a_{12}$ *is nonzero,* r *is odd or* $r = 2$ *and* $(g_1g_2)^r \neq 1$ *where* $r := r_1 = r_2$;
- (iv) *The parameter* a *is nonzero,* $r = 2r' > 2$ *and* $(g_1g_2)^{tr'} \neq 1$ *where* $t \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ *and* $(g_1g_2)^{r'}g_2^r \neq 1 \neq (g_1)^r(g_1g_2)^{r'}$.

Then for λ *the total integral on the group algebra* $H = K[\Gamma]$,

$$\lambda \circ \varepsilon_{\alpha_R} = \alpha_R.$$

Proof. By [ABM1, 4.11], $B = A^\alpha = R^{\alpha_R}\#_{\varepsilon_{\alpha_R}}K[\Gamma]$ and $\pi m_{A^\alpha} = \varepsilon_{\alpha_R} = u_H\alpha_R * \varepsilon * (H \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}$ so that

$$\lambda \circ \varepsilon_{\alpha_R} = \alpha_R * (\lambda \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}.$$

Thus since α_R is convolution invertible, $\lambda \circ \varepsilon_{\alpha_R} = \alpha_R$ if and only if $(\lambda \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R} = \varepsilon_{R\otimes R}$.

Suppose first that $\alpha = \gamma_i$ and assume that $a_i \neq 0$. Then $\alpha^{-1} = \gamma_{-a_i}$, the cocycle defined exactly as γ_i is but with a_i replaced by $-a_i$, and for $0 < n < r_i$, $(\lambda \otimes \alpha^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}(x_i^n \otimes x_i^{r_i - n}) = \lambda(g_i^{r_i})(-a_i) = 0$ since if $a_i \neq 0$, $g_i^{r_i} \neq 1$. It is clear that $(\lambda \otimes \alpha^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R} = \varepsilon_{R\otimes R}$ on all other elements of $R \otimes R$ and so the statement holds if $\alpha = \gamma_i$.

Now suppose that $\alpha = \gamma_1 * \gamma_2$ with a_1, a_2 nonzero. Then $\alpha^{-1} = \gamma_2^{-1} * \gamma_1^{-1}$ so that again α and α^{-1} are nonzero on the same elements. By Remark 4.1, α_R can be different from ε only on elements of the form $z = x_i^n \otimes x_i^{r_i - n}$ or $z = x_1^nx_2^m \otimes x_1^{r_1 - n}x_2^{r_2 - m}$. In the first case $(\lambda \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}(z) = 0$ by the argument above and in the second case, this holds by the assumption that $g_1^{r_1}g_2^{r_2} \neq 1$.

Finally assume that $a \neq 0$. Then $\chi_2 = \chi_1^{-1}$. Suppose that $\chi_1(g_1) = q$, a primitive rth root of unity. Then $1 = \chi_1(g_2)\chi_2(g_1)$ forces $\chi_1(g_2) = q$ and $\chi_2(g_2)^{-1} = q$ as in Lemma 2.2. By Lemma

3.2, $\alpha^{-1}(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l) = \varepsilon_{R\otimes R}(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l)$ unless $q^{i+k} = q^{j+l}$. Clearly $(\lambda \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}(1_R \otimes 1_R) = 1 = \varepsilon_{R\otimes R}(1_R \otimes 1_R)$ and it remains to show that $(\lambda \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l) = 0$ for $i + k \equiv j + l$ mod r with $i + j + k + l > 0$.

Suppose that $i + k = 0$ so that $i = k = 0$. Then $j + l = r$ and $(\lambda \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}(x_2^j \otimes x_2^l) = \lambda(g_2^r)\alpha^{-1}(x_2^j \otimes x_2^l)$. If $a_2 \neq 0$ then $g_2^r \neq 1$, and $\lambda(g_2^r) = 0$. If $a_2 = 0$, then $\alpha^{-1}(x_2^j \otimes x_2^l) = -a_2 = 0$. The case where $i + k = r$ and $j + l = 0$ is similar.

Now consider $0 < i + k < 2r$, $0 < j + l < 2r$ and $j + l \equiv i + k$ mod r. Then

$$(\lambda \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l) = \lambda(g_1^{i+k} g_2^{j+l})\alpha^{-1}(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l).$$

This expression is 0 unless $g_1^{i+k} g_2^{j+l} = 1$. If $g_1^{i+l} g_2^{j+l} = 1$ then $1 = \chi_1(g_1^{i+k} g_2^{j+l}) = q^{2(i+k)}$ so that r divides $2(i + k)$. If r is odd, then r must divide $i + k$ and thus $i + k = j + l = r$. If $(g_1 g_2)^r \neq 1$, then $(\lambda \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l) = 0$.

If $r = 2$, then the nonzero possibilities for $i + k$ and $j + l$ are 1 and 2. Thus $i + k = j + l$. If $i+k = j+l = 1$ then since $g_1 g_2 \neq 1$, the statement holds. If $i+k = j+l = 2 = r$, then $(g_1 g_2)^2 \neq 1$ guarantees that $(\lambda \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l) = 0$.

Suppose $r = 2r' > 2$. Then $q^{2(i+k)} = 1$ implies that r' divides $i + k$ so that $i + k = j + l \in \{r', 2r' = r, 3r'\}$ or else $i + k = r'$, $j + l = 3r'$ or $i + k = 3r', j + l = r'$. The conditions in (iv) imply that in all cases $(\lambda \otimes \alpha_R^{-1})\rho_{R\otimes R}(x_1^i x_2^j \otimes x_1^k x_2^l) = 0$. □

The above theorem can be used to find sufficient conditions for $\alpha = \lambda \circ \varepsilon_{\alpha_R}$ for various quantum linear spaces, although general statements become unwieldy. The next corollary is a simple extension of (ii) in the theorem.

Corollary 4.5. *Let* $W = \oplus_{i=1}^{\theta} K x_i$ *be a quantum linear space and* $A := \mathcal{B}(W)\#K[\Gamma] = R\#H$. *Let* $\alpha \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ *be of the form* $\alpha = \prod_{i\in I} \gamma_i$ *with* $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, \theta\}$ *and* $\gamma_i \neq \varepsilon$. *If* $g_{j_1}^{r_{j_1}} \dots g_{j_t}^{r_{j_t}} \neq 1$ *for* $\{j_1, \dots, j_t\}$ *any nonempty subset of* I, *then* $\lambda \circ \pi \ \circ m_B = \alpha_R$.

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of the sufficiency of (i) or (ii) in the above theorem. □

Appendix A. Basis preserving multiplications

Throughout this appendix, as in the paper, Γ is a finite abelian group, H is the group algebra $K[\Gamma]$, and $W = \sum_{i=1}^{\theta} K x_i$ is a quantum linear space with $x_i \in W_{g_i}^{\chi_i}$. As well, throughout this section $A = R\#H$ with $R = \mathcal{B}(W)$. When needed for emphasis we write $\cdot_A$ for multiplication in A; if the context is clear we write multiplication in A as concatenation.

Definition A.1. Consider a map $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ and denote by $x \cdot_\mu y$ the product $\mu(x \otimes y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. We say that μ **preserves the basis of** A whenever μ is H-bilinear (where $A \otimes A$ is an H-bimodule via $h(a \otimes b)k = ah \otimes bk$ and A is an H-bimodule via $h(a)k = hak$), H-balanced (i.e. $\mu(ah \otimes b) = \mu(a \otimes hb)$), associative and unitary with respect to 1_A and for all $0 \leq n_i \leq r_i - 1, g \in \Gamma$

$$x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_\theta^{n_\theta} g = x_1^{\cdot_\mu n_1} \cdot_\mu \cdots \cdot_\mu x_\theta^{\cdot_\mu n_\theta} \cdot_\mu g. \tag{8}$$

Note that H-bilinearity of μ implies that for $h, l \in H$, $h \cdot_\mu l = hl$. Let X_μ denote $x_1^{\cdot_\mu n_1} \cdot_\mu \cdots \cdot_\mu x_\theta^{\cdot_\mu n_\theta}$. Since for all $0 \leq n_i \leq r_i - 1, g \in \Gamma$,

$$X_\mu \cdot_\mu g = X_\mu \cdot_\mu (1_A g) = (X_\mu \cdot_\mu 1_A)\, g = X_\mu g$$

then (8) is equivalent to

$$x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_\theta^{n_\theta} = x_1^{\cdot_\mu n_1} \cdot_\mu \cdots \cdot_\mu x_\theta^{\cdot_\mu n_\theta}. \tag{9}$$

The proof of the next lemma is immediate.

Lemma A.2. *Let* $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ *be* H*-bilinear,* H*-balanced, associative and unitary with respect to* 1_A*. Then* μ *preserves the basis of* A *if and only if the following conditions hold:*

(1) $x_i^{\cdot_A m} \cdot_\mu x_i = x_i^{\cdot_A (m+1)}$ *for all* i *and for all* $0 \leq m < r_i - 1$;

(2) $\left(x_1^{\cdot_A n_1} \cdot_A \cdots \cdot_A x_{s-1}^{\cdot_A n_{s-1}}\right) \cdot_\mu x_s^{\cdot_A n_s} = x_1^{\cdot_A n_1} \cdot_A \cdots \cdot_A x_{s-1}^{\cdot_A n_{s-1}} \cdot_A x_s^{\cdot_A n_s}$ *for all* $1 \leq s \leq \theta$ *and for all* $0 \leq n_i \leq r_i - 1$.

Lemma A.3. *Let* $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ *be a map which preserves the basis of* A. *Suppose that for each* i *there exist* m_i, n_i *with* $0 \leq m_i, n_i \leq r_i - 1$, $m_i + n_i = r_i$, *such that* $x_i^{\cdot_A m_i} \cdot_\mu x_i^{\cdot_A n_i} \in H$ *and set* $h_i := x_i^{\cdot_A m_i} \cdot_\mu x_i^{\cdot_A n_i}$. *Also suppose for all* $1 \leq j < i \leq \theta$ *then* $x_i \cdot_\mu x_j - \chi_j(g_i)\, x_j \cdot_\mu x_i \in H$ *and set* $h_{i,j} := x_i \cdot_\mu x_j - \chi_j(g_i)\, x_j \cdot_\mu x_i$. *Then* μ *is completely determined by* $(h_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (h_{u,v})_{1 \leq u,v \leq \theta}$.

Proof. Let $X = x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_\theta^{m_\theta} = x_1^{\cdot_\mu m_1} \cdot_\mu \cdots \cdot_\mu x_\theta^{\cdot_\mu m_\theta}$, $Y = x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_\theta^{n_\theta} = x_1^{\cdot_\mu n_1} \cdots \cdot_\mu x_\theta^{\cdot_\mu n_\theta}$. Since A has basis $\{Xg = X \cdot_\mu g | X \text{ as above }, g \in \Gamma\}$, μ is determined by the elements $(X \cdot_\mu g) \cdot_\mu (Y \cdot_\mu h)$ for $g, h \in \Gamma$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(X \cdot_\mu g) \cdot_\mu (Y \cdot_\mu h) &= X \cdot_\mu (g \cdot_\mu (Y \cdot_\mu h)) \overset{\mu \text{ left } H\text{-lin}}{=} X \cdot_\mu (g\,(Y_\mu \cdot_\mu h)) \\ &= X \cdot_\mu (g\,(Yh)) = X \cdot_\mu (Ygh)\,\chi(g) = (X) \cdot_\mu (Y) \cdot_\mu (gh)\,\chi(g),\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi = \chi_1^{n_1} * \cdots * \chi_\theta^{n_\theta}$ so that μ is determined by the elements $X \cdot_\mu Y$. It is straightforward to prove by induction on $n \geq 1$ that

$$x_i^{\cdot_\mu m} \cdot_\mu x_j^{\cdot_\mu n} = \sum\nolimits_{t=0}^{\min(m,n)} x_j^{\cdot_\mu (n-t)} \cdot_\mu x_i^{\cdot_\mu (m-t)} \cdot_\mu \beta_{m,n,t}^{i,j}(h_{i,j}) \tag{10}$$

where $\beta_{m,n,t}^{i,j}(h_{i,j}) \in H$ only depends on $g_1, \ldots, g_\theta, \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_\theta$ and $h_{i,j}$. Note that (10) holds also for $m = 0$ or $n = 0$.

Thus

$$X \cdot_\mu Y = \sum\nolimits_{0 \leq t_1 \leq m_1 + n_1, \cdots, 0 \leq t_\theta \leq m_\theta + n_\theta} x_1^{\cdot_\mu t_1} \cdot_\mu \cdots \cdot_\mu x_\theta^{\cdot_\mu t_\theta} \cdot_\mu \gamma_{t_1, \cdots, t_\theta}\left((h_{u,v})_{1 \leq u,v \leq \theta}\right)$$

where $\gamma_{t_1, \cdots, t_\theta}\left((h_{u,v})_{1 \leq u,v \leq \theta}\right) \in H$ only depends on $g_1, \ldots, g_\theta, \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_\theta$ and $(h_{u,v})_{1 \leq u,v \leq \theta}$.

Since $x_i^n = x_i^{\cdot_\mu n}$ for $n < r_i$ and $x_i^{\cdot_\mu r_i} = x_i^{\cdot_\mu m_i} \cdot_\mu x_i^{\cdot_\mu n_i} = x_i^{m_i} \cdot_\mu x_i^{n_i} = h_i \in H$, it remains to consider $x_i^{\cdot_\mu n}$ where $n > r_i$. Suppose that $n = qr_i + R$. Then

$$x_i^{\cdot_\mu n} = x_i^{\cdot_\mu [R + qr_i]} = x_i^{\cdot_\mu R} \cdot_\mu \left(x_i^{\cdot_\mu r_i}\right)^{\cdot_\mu q} = x_i^R \cdot_\mu h_i^q = x_i^R h_i^q$$

and the statement is proved. □

Proposition A.4. *For* W, H, R, A *as defined throughout this appendix, let* $B := A(a_i, a_{ij} | 1 \leq i, j \leq \theta)$ *be a lifting of* A *where* a_i, a_{ij} *are scalars, not necessarily nonzero.*

Let $\varphi : A \to B$ *map the basis of* A *to the basis of* B *by* $\varphi(x_1^{\cdot_A n_1} \cdot_A \cdots \cdot_A x_\theta^{\cdot_A n_\theta} \cdot_A g) = x_1^{\cdot_B n_1} \cdot_B \cdots \cdot_B x_\theta^{\cdot_B n_\theta} \cdot_B g$. *Then* φ *is an* H*-bilinear coalgebra isomorphism. Let* $\varsigma : A \otimes A \to A$ *be defined by* $\varsigma := \varphi^{-1} m_B (\varphi \otimes \varphi)$. *Then* ς *preserves the basis of* A. *If we regard* A *as an algebra through* ς, φ *is a bialgebra isomorphism.*

Let $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ *be a map which preserves the basis of* A. *Suppose that for each* i *there exist* m_i, n_i *with* $0 \leq m_i, n_i \leq r_i - 1$, $m_i + n_i = r_i$, *such that* $x_i^{\cdot_A m_i} \cdot_\mu x_i^{\cdot_A n_i} = a_i(1_A - g_i^{r_i})$ *and that* $x_i \cdot_\mu x_j - \chi_j(g_i)\, x_j \cdot_\mu x_i = a_{ij}(1 - g_i g_j)$ *for all* $1 \leq j < i \leq \theta$. *Then* $\mu = \varsigma$.

Proof. Since the coalgebra structures of A and B are defined in the same way with respect to the corresponding basis, φ is a bijective coalgebra map. Furthermore, φ is H-bilinear since for $X_A := x_1^{\cdot_A n_1} \cdot_A \cdots \cdot_A x_\theta^{\cdot_A n_\theta}$, $X_B := x_1^{\cdot_B n_1} \cdot_B \cdots \cdot_B x_\theta^{\cdot_B n_\theta}$, $\chi := \chi_1^{n_1} * \cdots * \chi_\theta^{n_\theta}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi\left[h \cdot_A (X_A \cdot_A g) \cdot_A l\right] = \varphi\left[\chi(h)\,(X_A \cdot_A g) \cdot_A h \cdot_A l\right] \\ &= \varphi\left[\chi(h)\,(X_A \cdot_A g) \cdot_A (hl)\right] = \chi(h)\,(X_B \cdot_B g) \cdot_B (hl) \\ &= \chi(h)\,(X_B \cdot_B g) \cdot_B (h \cdot_B l) = h \cdot_B (X_B \cdot_B g) \cdot_B l = h \cdot_B \varphi(X_A \cdot_A g) \cdot_B l.\end{aligned}$$

Since m_B preserves the basis of B, then ς preserves the basis of A.

For all $0 \leq m \leq r_i - 1$, $n = r_i - m$, we have that $x_i^{\cdot_A m} \cdot_\varsigma x_i^{\cdot_A n} = a_i(1_A - g_i^{r_i})$ since

$$\varphi^{-1}\left[\varphi(x_i^{\cdot_A m}) \cdot_B \varphi(x_i^{\cdot_A n})\right] = \varphi^{-1}\left(x_i^{\cdot_B m} \cdot_B x_i^{\cdot_B n}\right) = \varphi^{-1}\left(x_i^{\cdot_B r_i}\right) = \varphi^{-1}\left(a_i(1_B - g_i^{r_i})\right).$$

For $1 \leq j < i \leq \theta$, we have

$$x_i \cdot_\varsigma x_j = \varphi^{-1}\left[\varphi(x_i) \cdot_B \varphi(x_j)\right] = \varphi^{-1}(x_i \cdot_B x_j)$$

$$= \varphi^{-1}\left(\chi_j\left(g_i\right) x_j \cdot_B x_i + a_{ij}(1_B - g_i g_j)\right) = \chi_j\left(g_i\right) x_j \cdot_A x_i + a_{ij}(1_A - g_i g_j)$$

and

$$x_j \cdot_\varsigma x_i = \varphi^{-1}\left[\varphi\left(x_j\right) \cdot_B \varphi\left(x_i\right)\right] = \varphi^{-1}\left(x_j \cdot_B x_i\right) = x_j \cdot_A x_i$$

so that $x_i \cdot_\varsigma x_j - \chi_j\left(g_i\right) x_j \cdot_\varsigma x_i = a_{ij}(1_A - g_i g_j)$. By Lemma A.3, μ is now completely determined. □

PROPOSITION A.5. *Let $B := A(a_i, a_{ij} | 1 \leq i, j \leq \theta)$ be an arbitrary lifting of A. For $1 \leq s < \theta$, let A_s be the subalgebra of A generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_s, g_1, \ldots, g_s$. Then A_s is generated by elements of the form $h x_1^{\cdot_A n_1} \cdot_A \cdots \cdot_A x_s^{\cdot_A n_s}$ where $0 \leq n_i \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $\gamma \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ and suppose that for all $1 \leq i \leq \theta$, $0 \leq n_i, m_i \leq r_i - 1$,*

$$\gamma\left(x_i^{\cdot_A n_i} \otimes x_i^{\cdot_A m_i}\right) = \varepsilon_A\left(x_i^{\cdot_A n_i}\right) \varepsilon_A\left(x_i^{\cdot_A m_i}\right) \text{ if } n_i + m_i \leq r_i - 1, \tag{11}$$

$$\gamma\left(z \otimes x_s^{\cdot_A n_s}\right) = \varepsilon_A(z)\, \varepsilon_A\left(x_s^{\cdot_A n_s}\right) \text{ for all } z \in A_{s-1} \text{ and } 1 < s \leq \theta, \tag{12}$$

$$\gamma\left(x_i^{\cdot_A (r_i - 1)} \otimes x_i\right) = a_i, \tag{13}$$

$$\gamma\left(x_i \otimes x_j\right) = a_{ij}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq j < i \leq \theta. \tag{14}$$

Then $A^\gamma \cong B$.

Proof. First we note that (11) and (12) also hold for γ^{-1}. To see this, apply $\gamma * \gamma^{-1} = m_K(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)$ to elements of the form $x_i^{\cdot_A n_i} \otimes x_i^{\cdot_A m_i}$ or $z \otimes x_s^{\cdot_A n_s}$ where $z \in A_{s-1}$.

Also, applying $\gamma * \gamma^{-1} = m_K(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)$ to elements of the form $z \otimes x_i$ where $z \in A$, we see immediately that

$$\gamma^{-1}\left(z \otimes x_i\right) = -\gamma\left(z \otimes x_i\right), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \theta. \tag{15}$$

Let $\mu := m_{A^\gamma} = \gamma * m_A * \gamma^{-1}$. First we check that μ preserves the basis of A. Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, \theta\}$, and write x for x_i, g for g_i, q for q_i, r for r_i, a for a_i. For $1 \leq m + 1 \leq r - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} x^m \cdot_\mu x &\overset{(4)}{=} \gamma(x^m_{(1)} \otimes g) x^m_{(2)} g \gamma^{-1}(x^m_{(3)} \otimes x) + \gamma(x^m_{(1)} \otimes g) x^m_{(2)} x \gamma^{-1}(x^m_{(3)} \otimes 1) \\ &+ \gamma(x^m_{(1)} \otimes x) x^m_{(2)} \gamma^{-1}(x^m_{(3)} \otimes 1) \overset{(13),(15)}{=} x^{m+1} + \delta_{m+1,r}[a(1 - g^r)] = x^{m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma A.2(1) holds. A similar argument shows that Lemma A.2(2) holds and so μ preserves the basis of A. Now, again denoting $x := x_i$, etc,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(r-1)} \cdot_\mu x &\overset{(11) \text{ for } \gamma, \gamma^{-1}}{=} \gamma(x^{r-1} \otimes x) 1_A + \gamma(g^{r-1} \otimes g) x^r + \gamma^{-1}(x^{r-1} \otimes x) g^r \\ &\overset{(13),(15)}{=} -g^r a + a 1_A = a\left(1 - g^r\right). \end{aligned}$$

For all $a, b \in \{1, \ldots, \theta\}$,

$$x_a \cdot_\mu x_b \overset{(4),(15)}{=} -g_a g_b \gamma\left(x_a \otimes x_b\right) + x_a x_b + \gamma\left(x_a \otimes x_b\right) 1_A = x_a x_b + \gamma\left(x_a \otimes x_b\right)\left(1_A - g_a g_b\right).$$

Therefore for all $1 \leq j < i \leq \theta$, since $x_i x_j = \chi_j(g_i) x_j x_i$ in A,

$$\begin{aligned} & x_i \cdot_\mu x_j - \chi_j\left(g_i\right) x_j \cdot_\mu x_i \\ &= \left[x_i \cdot_A x_j + \gamma\left(x_i \otimes x_j\right)\left(1_A - g_i g_j\right)\right] - \chi_j\left(g_i\right)\left[x_j \cdot_A x_i + \gamma\left(x_j \otimes x_i\right)\left(1_A - g_j g_i\right)\right] \\ &= \gamma\left(x_i \otimes x_j\right)\left(1_A - g_i g_j\right) - \chi_j\left(g_i\right) \gamma\left(x_j \otimes x_i\right)\left(1_A - g_j g_i\right) \\ &= \left[\gamma\left(x_i \otimes x_j\right) - \chi_j\left(g_i\right) \gamma\left(x_j \otimes x_i\right)\right]\left(1 - g_i g_j\right) \\ &\overset{(12),(14)}{=} a_{ij}(1 - g_i g_j). \end{aligned}$$

The statement now follows from Proposition A.4. □

PROPOSITION A.6. *Let $B := A(a_i, a_{ij} | 1 \leq i, j \leq \theta)$ be an arbitrary lifting of A as in the previous proposition. Let $\alpha \in Z_H^2(A, K)$ be defined as in Theorem 3.9. Then $A^\alpha \cong B$.*

Proof. It suffices to check that the conditions in Proposition A.5 hold.

Let $T=\{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_s\}$. For $i\in\{1,\ldots,\theta\}$ there exists a unique $\tau(i)\in T$ such that $i\in C_{\tau(i)}$. Since $\alpha_{\tau_j}=\varepsilon_{A\otimes A}$ on the sub-bialgebra of A generated by the x_i, $i\notin C_{\tau_j}$, then for any $z\in A$, $0\leq u\leq r_i-1$,

$$\alpha\left(z\otimes x_i^u\right)=\alpha_{\tau_1}*\cdots*\alpha_{\tau_s}\left(z\otimes x_i^u\right)=\alpha_{\tau(i)}\left(z\otimes x_i^u\right),\ \text{and}\ \alpha\left(x_i^u\otimes z\right)=\alpha_{\tau(i)}\left(x_i^u\otimes z\right). \tag{16}$$

Now (11)- (14) follow immediately from the definitions of the cocycles γ_i and γ_{ij}. $\square$

Acknowledgement: Thanks to the referee for his/her careful reading of this note.

References

[AS1] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. **171** (1) (2010) 375-417.

[AS2] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *Lifting of quantum linear spaces and pointed Hopf algebras of order p^3*, J. Algebra **209** (1998), 658–691.

[ABM1] A. Ardizzoni, M. Beattie and C. Menini, *Cocyle deformations for Hopf algebras with a coalgebra projection*, J. Algebra **324**(4) (2010), 673-705.

[ABM2] A. Ardizzoni, M. Beattie and C. Menini, *Gauge Deformations for Hopf Algebras with the Dual Chevalley Property*, submitted. (arXiv:1012.4935)

[AMS] A. Ardizzoni, C. Menini and D. Stefan, *A Monoidal Approach to Splitting Morphisms of Bialgebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **359** (2007), 991–1044.

[BDG] Beattie, M.; Dăscălescu, S.; Grünenfelder, L. *Constructing pointed Hopf algebras by Ore extensions.* J. Algebra **225** (2000), no. 2, 743–770.

[BC] Bichon, J.; Carnovale, G. *Lazy cohomology: an analogue of the Schur multiplier for arbitrary Hopf algebras.* J. Pure & Appl. Algebra **204** (2006), 627-665.

[C] Chen, Huixiang, *Skew pairing, cocycle deformations and double crossproducts*, Acta Math. Sinica, English Series 1999, Vol. 15, No.2, 225-234.

[D] Y. Doi, *Braided bialgebras and quadratic bialgebras*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), no. 5, 1731–1749.

[GM] L. Grünenfelder and M. Mastnak, *Pointed Hopf algebras as cocycle deformations*, preprint. (arXiv:1010.4976)

[K] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, GTM 155, Springer, New York, 1995.

[Ma1] A. Masuoka, *Abelian and non-abelian second cohomologies of quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 1-47.

[Ma2] A. Masuoka, *Defending the negated Kaplansky conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 3185–3192.

[Mo] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and their actions on rings,* CMBS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **82**, 1993.

[R] D. E. Radford, *The Structure of Hopf Algebras with a Projection*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), 322–347.

[S] M. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

Department of Mathematics, University of Ferrara, Via Machiavelli 35, Ferrara I-44121, Italy
E-mail address: `alessandro.ardizzoni@unife.it`

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Mount Allison University, Sackville, NB E4L 1E6, Canada
E-mail address: `mbeattie@mta.ca`

Department of Mathematics, University of Ferrara, Via Machiavelli 35, Ferrara I-44121, Italy
E-mail address: `men@dns.unife.it`